

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/009,768	0/009,768 01/20/1998		TAKAYUKI KIJIMA	PMS245024	7858
909	7590	04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
		THROP, LLP	MOE, AUNG SOE		
P.O. BOX 1 MCLEAN,)2		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•				2612	err
				DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	licant(s)	•
Advisory Action	09/009,768	KIJIMA ET AL.	
7.120.200. y 7.100.100.	Examiner	Art Unit	
,	Aung S. Moe	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 3/31/2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl th places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili	ing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	l of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 31 March 2004. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	• •	-	th in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s): See Continuation Sheet		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			

Aung S. Moe Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2612

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) rejected: <u>14,16,17,19,21-23 and 38-40</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/009,768



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment to claims 15, 16, 24, 38 and 39 raise new issue and would require further consideration and/or search..

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 38 and 39, and 103 rejection of claims 15 and 24 (i.e., in view of the Translation).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment to claims 15, 16, 24, 38 and 39 raise new issue as discussed above, and the pending cliams are currently rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.